

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,693		07/10/2003	Michel J.F. Digonnet	STANF.130A	1637	
20995	7590	05/18/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		NS OLSON & BEA	Сніем, і	CHIEM, DINH D		
2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2883		
				DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		T	<u></u>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Astion Comments	10/616,693	DIGONNET, MICHEL J.F.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Erin D. Chiem	2883				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The ply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from o, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed is will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 A	pril 2005.					
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	, <u> </u>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application		•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.						
6)⊠							
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) 🗀	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	y-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No				
	$3.\square$ Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau						
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen							
1) 🔼 Notic 2) 🗌 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(PTO-413) ate.				
3) 🛛 Inforr	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date 11/14/03 AND 1/26/04	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/616,693 Page 2

Art Unit: 2883

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Species I drawn to claims 2-11 in the reply filed on April 27, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Priority

This application claims priority of provisional application filed on August 20, 2002 is acknowledged.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In the 3rd paragraph of the claim, Applicant claims the first port of directional coupler is optically coupled to the light source and in the 2nd paragraph the Applicant claims the hollow-core photonic-bandgap fiber is coupled to the second port of the directional coupler; the citation of the "first port", "second port", and "third port" lacks antecedent basis in the 3rd paragraph since the photonic-bandgap fiber does not couple to the directional coupler that is directly coupled to the light source. Applicant is required to provide clarification to the plurality of directional couplers and the plurality of the "first port," "second port," and "third port." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/616,693 Page 3

Art Unit: 2883

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-3, and 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Greenaway et al. (US 6,389,187 B1).

5. Greenaway et al. teach an optical sensor comprising a broadband light source having a

spectral distribution with a full width at half maximum at 18 nanometer (col. 4 line 55-57) and

(col. 6 line 65 - col. 7 line 3);

6. A directional coupler having at least three ports wherein the first port is coupled to the

light source and split the signal and transmits them into a second and third port (col. 5, line 22-

31);

7. a hollow core photonic bandgap fiber having a hollow core surrounded by a cladding

(col. 4, line 29-35, 44-45) confining the counterpropagating second optical signal and third

optical signal within the hollow core (col. 5, line 67-col. 6, line 14); and

8. an optical detector position to receive the signal from the second and third port (col. 4,

line 58-col. 5, line17).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit; 2883

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 10. Claims 4-7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Michal et al (US 6,108,086).
- 11. Greenaway et al teach an optical sensor comprising broadband light source coupled to a directional coupler and the single input signal is further split into two signals and transmit to the hollow core photonic bandgap fiber. However, Greenaway et al. do not teach the light source mean wavelength is stable at least $\pm 0.1 \pm 100$ parts per million.
- 12. Michal et al. disclose an optic gyroscopes comprising a broadband source comprising a superluminescent fiber source, erbium doped fiber, having bandwidth of 8 nm reduces the centroid wavelength shift to less than 0.1 ppm from 500 ppm for the purpose of preserving the integrity of the broadband fiber light signal. In harsh environments, when Erbium doped fiber is exposed to ionizing radiation, the broadband fiber source loses the wide spectral width, therefore maintaining the light source mean wavelength stability at the various range from $\pm 0.1 \pm 100$ parts per million is critical in preserving the signal integrity and the broad spectrum of the light source.
- 13. Since Greenaway et al. and Michal et al. are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Michal et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Greenaway et al.
- 14. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide bandpass filters centered at 1557 nm having a bandwidth of 8 nm reduces the centroid wavelength shift to less than 0.1 ppm from previously observed stability at 500 ppm. By maintaining the centroid wavelength stability at fractional ppm allows the

Art Unit: 2883

broadband light source to maintain the broad spectrum of light since Erbium doped fiber is sensitive to exposure to ionizing radiation.

- 15. Claims 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greenaway et al. in view of Sanders et al. (US 5,563,705).
- 16. Greenaway et al teach an optical sensor comprising broadband light source coupled to a directional coupler and the single input signal is further split into two signals and transmit to the hollow core photonic bandgap fiber. However, Greenaway et al. do not teach the sensor comprising an amplitude modulator and a frequency modulator external to the light source.
- 17. Sanders et al. teach a sensor comprising a frequency modulator and an amplitude modulator external to the light source for the purpose of controlling the frequency, due to the phase modulator, and the amplitude, due to the Kerr effect.
- 18. Since Greenaway et al. and Sanders et al. are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Sanders et al. would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Greenaway et al.
- 19. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide amplitude and frequency modulators externally from the light source for 1) modulators can reduce the amplitude and frequency of the signal to fundamental harmonics that would be more manageable (col. 2, line 7-16); and 2) the information that is extracted by modulating the amplitude to the fundamental harmonics and modulating the frequency at a given wavelength and send those information to the coil and the phase difference between the electromagnetic waves of the original light source and of the signal that was

Application/Control Number: 10/616,693

Art Unit: 2883

electromagnetically impinged upon can be detected by the Sagnac effect. In essence, this is the

fundamental operation of an optical gyroscope (col. 5, line 35-col. 6, line 13).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Erin D. Chiem whose telephone number is (571) 272-3102. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 9AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (571) 272-2415. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Erin D Chiem Examiner

Art Unit 2883

Frank G. Font

Supervisory Primary Examiner

and It Font

Page 6

Technology Center 2800